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Q: Why Are Education and Mental Health Partnerships Important for Schools and Communities?
A: They Improve Child, School and Community Outcomes!

The State Education Department (SED) and the State Office of Mental Health (OMH) strongly encourage school districts and local mental health systems to partner to ensure that children with mental health needs have improved access to services. Such access leads to early intervention and support which been proven to result in better school, family and community outcomes for children with emotional and behavioral issues. This guide is intended to help school district and community mental health leaders’ work together to establish mental health services based in schools or linked to schools. This effort builds on the commitment established in the New York State (NYS) Children’s Plan to improve the way we think about the mental health needs of our children.

The NYS Children’s Plan was submitted to the Governor and the Legislature in 2008 by the Commissioners of the nine child serving agencies, including the Commissioners of Education and Mental Health. The plan is an outgrowth of the Mental Health Act of 2006 and builds on significant research showing that linkages among the systems serving children and families is a critical component to the success of children and youth with mental health issues. The New York State Children’s Plan may be found as “ENGAGE” on the Council on Children and Families website.

What are the Benefits of School/Mental Health Collaborations?
The research clearly shows that access to mental health supports has an enormous impact on school engagement. The goal of enabling all children to achieve high academic standards is enhanced when the education community joins with public and private sector health, mental health and social services providers to address the widespread conditions, including those that are trauma based, that interfere with student learning and students’ prospects for a healthy adulthood. Partnerships that address the mental health and developmental needs of children is one of the key strategies for improving the learning environment and academic performance. It is also well documented that early identification and treatment will reduce the risk that children will end up in the juvenile justice or other child-serving systems, improving the odds that they will grow into productive adults.

Consider the benefits for both systems:
• **Schools.** School-based/linked mental health clinics and supports have been identified as an effective means of addressing the mental health needs of children and improving the learning environment. Partnerships between schools and mental health providers can result in improved academic outcomes through:
  o Improved school engagement with children being better prepared/able to concentrate on learning,
  o Increased attendance and reductions in drop-outs,
  o School staff better prepared to address students’ mental health needs,
  o Parents more likely to effectively participate in their children’s education,
  o Assisting the school when addressing the Dignity for All Students Act, and
  o Mental health providers linking with additional community-based services to support the child and family.
• **Community Mental Health Clinics.** Mental health clinics based in or linked to schools provide better access to services for children with serious emotional or behavioral issues and their families. Increased access to clinic services will:
  o Facilitate early identification by providing appropriate screening, assessment and follow-up,
  o Improve efficiency and coordination of services among school-based professionals, clinic professionals and community service providers,
  o Maximize utilization of staff by eliminating redundant staff training and sharing critical functions, knowledge, skills and information,
  o Ensure more students' and families' consistent participation in treatment through linkages with the school's wellness programs, and
  o Reduce the stigma associated with mental health treatment by having clinics in environments where children are located.

This summary guide is intended to help community mental health and school leaders begin to explore the benefits of partnerships and to establish school-based collaborations. It will assist leadership to better understand some of the practical issues and steps to take related to creating and operating school-based mental health programs. It is intended to help leadership begin the dialogue leading to establishing school/mental health partnerships. There are also shorter versions targeting either education or mental health leaders.

**A Special Note: Challenges and Opportunities Presented by An Evolving Children’s Behavioral Health System**

The children’s public mental health system in New York State is rapidly evolving. There are multiple forces having significant impact upon the many providers and services that the NYS Office of Mental Health oversees, licenses, certifies and funds. These forces present challenges as well as opportunities for positive change. Many of these changes can be predicted but some cannot. The planned transition of behavioral health services into Medicaid Managed Care and the impending enrollment of eligible children into Health Homes are just two examples of the massive changes that the world of children’s healthcare is about to experience.

The current state of flux makes it somewhat challenging to offer firm guidance to those wishing to partner with mental health providers. What had in the past been a fairly static field is now transforming before our eyes. The most useful advice to be offered at this point is that schools engage in comprehensive dialogue with local providers. Listen to them. Try to understand the pressures they are under and the directions they are going. These providers will be looking to measure their outcomes in new and better ways, they may be struggling with new payment methodologies, they will be forging new partnerships with other healthcare providers, and they may eventually start offering an expanded array of services.

It is now, more than ever, critical for schools to explain to these providers what students’ needs are. While services and payment procedures may change dramatically, one thing will remain constant: some children and families need help. It is and will continue to be the job of the public mental health system to help schools and others by offering expert
opinion about what kind of help can be offered to each child and family brought to our attention. We cannot and will not lose sight of this mission.

School-based Mental Health Clinics

While not the most common service delivery option, school-based Mental Health Clinics are one possibility among the many emerging behavioral health structures that can be used in School-Mental Health Partnerships. They can be part of a larger health clinic or a stand-alone model, including a satellite clinic. Taking the first steps in establishing a school-based mental health clinic can appear daunting, but many schools and counties/community agencies have paved the way. What follows reflects the experiences of those partnerships. This includes understanding how to get started, appropriate services, rules to understand, and issues for partners to address to prevent problems from emerging as two different systems and cultures interface to better serve children and their families.

How to Get Started

Overview
School-based mental health clinics can be established if the school and the licensed mental health clinic agree and pursue state authorization. A local school district administrator, a board of education, the New York City Department of Education or Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, a county mental health commissioner or an agency authorized to provide mental health services can initiate the project. Outside of New York City, an authorized provider agency, and the school district superintendent/school leaders would work together to develop a written agreement for the operation of the partnership. Involvement of the local county Mental Health Commissioner (the terminology may be different in any given county) is also an important component of a successful collaboration. If the partnership includes a contract to provide mental health support services on behalf of the school, a commitment by the local board of education will be required.

Outpatient mental health clinics, which include clinic satellites established in schools, are referred to as Article 31 clinics. Most clinic programs include both a main clinic and satellite clinics. A clinic (generally a satellite clinic) may be established in a school as long as it meets requirements, including size, condition and features (e.g., privacy) of the physical space in the school where the clinic will be located. The mental health provider/operator is responsible for communicating these requirements to the school and applying to OMH for an operating certificate. Before beginning, it is important to note that the intent is for the community partner to supplement, not supplant, existing District services.

A school district interested in establishing a clinic in one or more of its schools may contact a mental health provider in its community directly or contact the county mental health agency that serves the geographic area in which the district is located. Go to http://www.clmhd.org for county mental health department contact information.

In New York City (NYC) there is well defined structure for identifying the need and establishing a school-based mental health partnership. NYC principals should refer to: “A Principal’s Guidebook: School-based Mental Health Programs”, created by the Office of School Health – School Mental Health Services. Contact information for the
Creating Successful Partnerships – A Summary

Schools and community-based providers need to enter into the partnership with an understanding of each other’s strengths and needs. The following provides a summary of information on how to approach creating a successful partnership.

Making Partnerships Work: Best Practices in Effective Partnerships**

** Adapted from, “A Principal’s Guidebook: School-based Mental Health Programs” by Scott Bloom, LCSW-R, Director of School Mental Health Services, NYC Office of School Health.

- **Setting a Clear Vision and Goals**
  Successful partnerships share a common vision in which to carry out their goals and objectives. The school and provider should reach an understanding of the vision and goals they bring to the partnership. Any partnership begins with a school-wide needs assessment to determine what current services can be leveraged and where the gaps lay. These goals can be shared with others and assessed as the partnership progresses.

- **Clearly Defined Purpose of Collaboration**
  Collaboration is the key to successful partnerships. Both parties should clearly define what they hope to accomplish together. Frank discussion from each collaborator about what they hope to gain and why they think the partnership exists are crucial. Defining the purpose and the ways in which both partners can benefit should start at the initial meeting.

- **Maintaining a Formal System of Open Communication**
  On-going communication must exist between the community partner and the school. Dialogue from the principal, school administration, PPS, and teachers within the school and the site coordinator and/or provider leadership and staff will allow both parties to express and share upcoming events, concerns, and agendas. Regularly scheduled meetings should accommodate both partners. For example, schools should include providers in all building communications and meetings, including inviting the provider to regularly join the PPS and administrative team meetings and Mental Health providers can include school staff in appropriate agency or community meetings or committees.

- **Recognizing and Respecting Differences**
  From the initial meeting onward, it is important to recognize that the school and provider will have different philosophies, roles, and relationships to children and the community. That each will have their own approach, language and beliefs that are equally valuable should be addressed in the beginning. The leadership should be clear about the daily roles that each partner has as well as practice differences in various policies such as those regarding restraints, confidentiality (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA)/Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), Child Protective Services (CPS)/Administration...
for Children’s Services (ACS)/Local Social Service District (LSSD) communications, etc. The partnership should agree on what a clinic staff member can and cannot do under the direction of the host school. In addition, it is also essential that schools understand and support the providers’ practice requirements (productivity) and documentation needs (data) needed to meet funding requirements that ensure sustainability. Equally important is for providers to adapt clinical practice to the school environment, respecting classroom norms and teachers’ needs, e.g., limiting class interruptions and providing unscheduled support, etc.

➢ **Mutual Commitment for Long-term Stability**
   It is imperative that the provider and school have an understanding of their commitment to the partnership and the mutual benefits. That is, the school can begin to incorporate the provider into their school philosophy and community, and the provider can commit to the nature of their mission and the ways in which it reflects a dedication to the school environment. Equally important is for both partners to understand the associated strengths and limitations of this collaboration.

➢ **Evaluation of Program Progress and Effectiveness**
   As partners, it is imperative that services and relationships are continuously monitored to help each other maintain focus, improve effectiveness and accountability, ensure parent and participant satisfaction and identify changes that will improve outcomes. It is important to understand the limitations of HIPPA/FERPA and parental consent.

➢ **Trouble shooting - Have a defined process and time set aside for trouble shooting.** Be prepared to address issues that inevitably will emerge when bringing two different cultures together. Maintaining a system for ongoing communication is one key to preventing issues from growing but good practice often dictates more than leadership meeting regularly. Having links to students, staff, parents and community leaders is also a valuable tool in fostering good relationships.

**Note:** Also see the section on, “Making a Partnership Work: Issues and Strategies to Consider when Establishing a School-based Clinic” for more information on how others have built a solid foundation for a partnership.
Fiscal Considerations

Clinic Financing: Medicaid, Private Insurance and Special Education, including the School Supportive Health Services Program (SSHSP)

A critical aspect of running a clinic that schools should understand is that Article 31 clinics are responsible for sustaining themselves financially. They do not receive fiscal support comparable to state aid to schools to pay for their staff/services. Their chief sources of revenue are Medicaid (for Medicaid-eligible children and services) and third-party insurance. It is important for school staff to fully understand that generally clinic staff only generates revenue for direct treatment services provided to the youth. Meetings and training sessions do not generate revenue. Therefore, while such sessions are important and should be held, it is critical to the effective operation of the clinic that the time constraints of the clinicians be well understood before commitments are made. Clinic staff can easily inform their partners of the constraints and the best ways to enhance participation. It should be noted that with the advent of Medicaid Managed Care, the need for collaborative planning on the agreements required for effective delivery of services will grow.

The partners should also discuss how collaboration can improve the cost-efficiency of the clinic. For example, low cost or free use of space, utilities, maintenance, security, etc. can improve the cost effectiveness of the clinic. Considering how the clinic might also provide services to family members who do not attend the school may also be a strategy to improve the generation of resources while addressing significant factors in successful treatment strategies for the child. While they cannot contract for treatment, the district can contract separately with the provider under very specific circumstances for certain services, generally, but not always, special education Individualized Education Program (IEP) driven evaluations or related services, if those services do not supplant existing school services and meet other stringent criteria. It is critical to note that such services may be covered under the School Supportive Health Services Program (see below) allowing the school to access Medicaid reimbursement. The service delivery requirements and billing process should be clearly understood to avoid double billing and disallowances.

Mental Health partners should understand that under the School Supportive Health Services Program (SSHSP) which governs Medicaid payments to students with IEPs in New York State, only school districts may bill Medicaid for certain IEP services provided to students. This is a federal source of funding for school districts. The NYS Education Department’s web site provides information on the SSHSP. It addresses the program requirements including such services as transportation, speech-language therapy and counseling. It differentiates between services provided in the school and those provided by individuals licensed in a profession under Title VIII of the Education Law. Information on the SSHSP can be found at: http://www.oms.nysed.gov/medicaid/

Collaborative Staffing Considerations

Understanding the Qualifications and Appropriate Functions of School District Pupil Personal Services Staff and Community Mental Health Clinicians

In order to fully understand how clinics and schools can effectively partner, the key qualifications and responsibilities between school Pupil Personal Services staff and
community mental health clinicians must be clear. Partners are encouraged to fully discuss roles and responsibilities to avoid potential conflicts. A key issue to avoid is any attempts at supplanting existing school district services through the use of clinical services/staff. The following information will assist in understanding the differences. Note: There is more on the appropriate roles and responsibilities later in this document.

**School Staff:** The qualifications and requirements for school professionals and paraprofessionals are in Part 80 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education.

**School Social Worker:** Schools employ licensed social workers who are also a certified school social worker. School social workers are considered an instructional employee and part of the pupil personnel services (PPS) staff. Duties are not limited to direct instruction of students, and include supporting the function of teaching, such as performing student and parent case work services and consulting and collaborating with other school personnel to establish and plan respective roles in the modification of student behavior.

**School Psychologist:** School psychologists are also considered instructional staff and part of the PPS team. They provide student counseling, conduct psychological assessments and assist other instructional staff in addressing the learning and behavioral modes and skills of children, including Functional Behavioral Assessments and Behavior Improvement Plans.

**School Counselor:** School counselors are often referred to as guidance counselors. School guidance counselors provide assistance in many different areas related to development of a comprehensive school counseling program including a guidance curriculum, individual student planning, prevention and student support services and support of district staff.

**Community Mental Health Clinic Staff**
Clinics generally have LCSW and LMSW staff, among others. Education Law establishes distinct requirements for licensure and authorized practice for Licensed Master Social Workers (LMSWs) and Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSWs). An LMSW may practice clinical social work (e.g. diagnosis, psychotherapy) only under appropriate supervision from an LCSW, psychiatrist or licensed psychologist. This supervision, and what it permits the LMSW to do in an Article 31 clinic, is a significant distinguishing factor between a School Social Worker and an LMSW in a mental health clinic. School Social Workers do not typically receive clinical supervision and would therefore not be able to perform the LCSW duties unless they are themselves LCSWs. Under licensure requirements, it would not be appropriate for the clinical staff (e.g. LCSW) to supervise the practice of an LMSW in a school, as this blurs the difference between education and health care services and related factors (e.g. billable services, confidentiality, etc.).

State Education Law addresses the areas of social work, psychology and mental health counseling separately. While the majority of individuals who work directly with children and adolescents in clinics licensed by the Office of Mental Health, including school-based mental health clinics, are licensed master social workers and licensed clinical
social workers, these clinics may also employ individuals who are licensed under Article 163 of Education Law (mental health practitioners) or Article 153 (psychology). The former includes individuals licensed to practice mental health counseling, marriage and family therapy, creative arts therapy, and psychoanalysis.

**Distinguishing between District Pupil Personnel Services and Community Mental Health Clinical Functions**

Effective partnerships have found that they must establish procedures and practices that take into account the responsibilities of the professionals who will be involved in the clinic. These must be consistent with Education Law, which defines educational and support services provided in the school. Involving staff early in this process helps ensure a minimum of issues emerging over time.

A significant advantage of school-based clinics is the proximity of clinical staff with school PPS staff. This close working environment has been proven to enhance the working relationship and the consistency in strategies, expectations and supports across the school, family and community domains that sustain a child. When classroom and treatment strategies are consistent, youth are much more likely to benefit. While working in the same building/district has great positive potential it also can present considerations that need to be well thought-out when planning for a school-based clinic. Above all, effective programs have indicated it is important to clearly establish the functions of clinical and school district PPS staff.

Although they may hold similar professional titles, it must be clear from the outset that the duties of Pupil Personnel staff in schools (such as School Social Worker and School Psychologist) and the duties of mental health staff in licensed clinics (such as Social Worker and Psychologist) are not interchangeable. Differences in requirements for these positions (e.g., certification, experience, and/or supervision requirements) limit the performance of certain functions to particular titles. The primary distinction that must be kept in mind by both school and clinic staff is that individuals in Pupil Personnel Services titles perform *instructional support* functions, while individuals in clinic positions perform *clinical* functions.

Pupil Personnel staff must meet certification and experience requirements which are education and school-related. Clinic staff must have appropriate licensure, as well as appropriate clinical experience and/or clinical supervision. While at times, the roles of PPS and clinic staff may appear similar, these roles, particularly as they pertain to supports provided to other school instructional staff, must be clearly delineated. It must be remembered that guidance regarding behavioral issues which relates to the engagement by a teacher of a student in the learning process is the domain of PPS staff. While clinic staff may consult with the teachers regarding child and adolescent mental health and behavioral issues in general and may even assist a teacher who is named as a "collateral" in a mental health treatment plan by suggesting particular behavioral management strategies related to a goal in the treatment plan, clinical staff should not suggest or recommend particular instructional strategies.

There may be instances where maintaining distinctions between the roles of Pupil Personnel and Clinic staff with regard to a student’s behavior in the classroom becomes difficult. In these instances, it is strongly recommended that the PPS and clinic staff
work together to develop strategies to assist the student achieve classroom-related goals that are also included in the treatment plans.

There are other limitations on how staff can be used. Partnerships must also be aware that even if these professionals possess appropriate qualifications, there are other legal considerations. For example, a board of education must fill a position on the teaching or supervisory staff, such as a school social worker, by appointing a district employee. A board may contract for such services only with a Boards of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) or a neighboring school district. Therefore, while a staff person working in the clinic may meet education licensure and certification requirements for a position in the school district, he or she must be hired directly by the school district. A subcontracting arrangement with the clinic would not be legal.

An example related to the clinic is somewhat different. A clinic could employ a school social worker who is also an LCSW to provide clinical social work services within an appropriately supervised setting. It would be possible for that individual to hold a full-time or part-time position as a school social worker and work part-time for the clinic. The individual must perform the appropriate responsibilities within the respective positions and perform the responsibilities of school social worker only as a school district employee. It would be critical to clarify the role the individual is filling, particularly in relation to privacy, privileged communication and billable services. The student and responsible parent may not be able to differentiate between the roles and restrictions, so it is the affirmative responsibility of the school and provider to receive informed consent before providing services.

**Other Considerations for School-based/linked Behavioral Health Programs**

**Facilitating Effective Partnerships: Key Concrete Examples**

Successful partnerships have found that when the following items are understood and negotiated up front between the school and the clinic, partnerships run smoothly and students and families get better results:

- Clinic and school staffs are clear about their respective participation on school teams (e.g., child study team, PBIS team, etc.).
- Mental health and school Pupil Personal Services staff have reviewed and understand their different roles and responsibilities, especially when both are working with an identified student with a disability.
- In crisis situations, it is recognized that the mental health clinic does not replace the school’s supports and is only part of the school’s resources.
- Mental health providers interact with each school facility individually; there is flexibility to allow each clinic to fit into its host school’s culture.
- The amount of time that school and clinic staff is expected to spend on collaborative activities is made clear at the outset.
- The school is willing to hire substitutes so staff can participate in training provided by the clinic to enhance the partnership.
- The clinic is clear about what services they are able to deliver, and the school is clear about what they expect.
The school understands that Medicaid and private insurance only reimburse for certain services, so the clinic may not be able to provide every service requested or needed unless a funding source is procured.

Providers are clear to parents, students and schools regarding possible waitlists; efforts to triage are transparent and effective.

The school and clinic give consistent messages to parents and students about the differing roles and responsibilities of school and clinic staff.

The partnership agreement includes a mechanism to ensure that communication among the leadership, building staff and clinic staff is on-going; with concerns addressed and disputes resolved in a timely manner.

**Understanding Service Provision at School Mental Health Clinics**

Outpatient clinic services are part of a continuum of mental health services available to children and adolescents with serious emotional or behavioral issues. They are intended to reduce symptoms and improve functioning while maintaining children in their natural environments. Supports are available to children and their families, as well as to individuals who will play a significant role in a child’s treatment plan. These individuals are known as “collaterals” in mental health treatment plans and may include a wide variety of individuals, including school staff. Moving these services into the school allows children and youth to more easily access them.

The degree to which the services and supports offered by school-based clinics are integrated within the school’s “host” environment may differ depending on a number of factors. These include the operating days/hours of the school-based clinic as well as the presence of financial support beyond a clinic’s normal operating revenues. For instance, a school-based clinic that is open whenever school is in session may be better integrated within the school environment than the school-based clinic which is open for only certain hours or days during the school week.

Some services offered by Article 31 clinics may not be available at all satellite locations but will be offered at the main clinic site. If a psychiatric evaluation is needed, for example, it may be conducted at the school site or the school-based clinic staff may arrange for it to occur at the clinic’s main site.

Services offered most frequently in school-based mental health clinics are generally provided by licensed clinical social workers (LCSW) and licensed master social workers (LMSW) under the supervision of a licensed clinical social worker or psychologist. A limited amount of services may be provided to children who are not yet admitted to the clinic. Clinics are required by regulation to admit children after a specific number of services have been provided.

With few exceptions, parental consent is required for a child to receive services. Consent and privacy rights under the Education Law, the mental health and public health laws of New York and the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) are applicable to the treatment of an eligible student.

**Expenses, Sharing Space and Building Aid**

Many schools interested in a partnership have questions related to understanding what, if any, costs fall to the school district. Unless the school is contracting with the clinic for
specific services and supports beyond those which are billable, the cost to the school should be in-kind (e.g., the provision of space and basic utilities such as telephones, copying services, etc.).

Space for Article 31 clinics, including school-based satellites, must meet certain minimum requirements. For example, a clinic requires sufficient space for students to receive treatment comfortably and safely and for staff to meet with parents. Clinics also must meet standards related to ensuring confidentiality. There must be a clear understanding between the school and the clinic about the days and hours that the clinic space may be used. Mental health officials should discuss these requirements with their school counterparts when developing a partnership agreement.

Experience has shown that the success of a school-based clinic depends in part on being in a consistent location to minimize disruption to the school, clinic, and students and parents. Once established in a location, the clinic should not be moved unless necessary or more appropriate space becomes available. Use of the space during holidays, the summer and at other times when school is not in session should be part of the partnership agreement.

Some clinics are located within a “wellness center” in a school, where they are housed with other health and mental health professionals, including school employees and community health organizations located at the school. Often viewed as a Community School, this arrangement aids collaboration and places mental health counseling in a broader framework of wellness. Some districts have worked with students to identify space in close proximity to the clinic as a place where students can safely gather. This has emerged as a strategy that has reduced stigma and encouraged students to pursue help. Research continues to show that stigma is the greatest factor in children and youth not pursuing assistance. Many partners have found that actively involving youth in determining how best to address this issue produces desired results.

School building aid related to space used by on-site clinics is an area that districts have requested assistance in understanding. It should be understood that a school-based clinic housed in a school building is not eligible for building aid. A school district may use existing space for a clinic if that space is no longer generating aid. It would be inappropriate to use space for which the district is receiving building aid for a renovation that is less than 15 years old. Newly constructed space used for a clinic would not be eligible for building aid because there would be no rated capacity assigned to it. A district is not permitted to convert existing aidable space to a clinic and replace that space at state expense through a future capital project. Given the above, it is also important that any discussion on cost should include the fact that successful school-based clinics provide much benefit to staff and students. The proximity of support and well planned use of the space leads not only to better utilization of services but also reductions in stigma. Research clearly shows that students, who receive such assistance and support from professionals, and their peers, do better in school and in the community.

Strategies to Successfully Engage Kids and Families

- **Key School Staff can open many doors.** Engage parents through linking with:
  - School Nurses
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- School Pupil Personnel Services (PPS) staff. These include Guidance Counselors, Psychologists and School Social Workers
- Teachers who understand the need for emotional health
- Coaches – Engage those coaches who can and are willing to help address wellness as a component of overall health

- **Link with parent groups** (also see “Rely on Kids” below)
  - Parent Teacher Associations (PTA)
  - Special Education Parent Teacher Associations (SEPTA)
  - PTO (Parent Teacher Organizations) – Some school parent groups don’t belong to the NYS PTA and can’t use the official name
  - Groups associated with after school activities (sports, music, theatre, etc.)

- **Rely on Family Support parents and Parent Advisors**
  - Link with those individuals who can relate to parents and the issues they are facing (e.g., Family Support Parents, PBIS school parents)
  - Link with activities set up by these key individuals

- **Rely on kids** – Involve them in solutions and parents will follow.
  - Involving youth allows them to help set a tone of acceptance of others
  - Allow kids to participate in identifying needs and solutions
  - Use student organizations to support efforts at addressing stigma and participate in solutions (e.g., student-to-student mentoring programs; anti-bullying programs)

- **Promote “fighting Stigma” strategies**
  - Choose language carefully. Use prevention based language. For example, “Emotional Wellness” versus mental illness
  - Focus presentations or participation in school promoted family-based events on real life emotional wellness issues versus mental health. For example: Helping kids deal with feeling depressed; moody; or handling stress; anger management; relationships; etc.

- **Participate in Normal School Screenings, Orientations or Transitions**
  - Kindergarten screening; middle school transition; Jr. High transition, etc.
  - Health and Wellness Campaigns or Health Fairs, etc.

- **Help others to Understand and Promote the CASSP Principles**: The Child and Adolescent Support Services Program (CASSP) is based on a well-defined set of six principles for mental health services for children and adolescents with or at risk of developing severe emotional disorders & their families.
  - **Child-centered**
  - **Family-focused**
  - **Community-based**
  - **Multi-system** (i.e., all child-serving systems involved in the child's life.)
  - **Culturally competent**
  - **Least restrictive/least intrusive**

For more information on CASSP go to: [http://ccf.ny.gov/council-initiatives/council-archives/engage/](http://ccf.ny.gov/council-initiatives/council-archives/engage/)
**Minimizing the Impact of Treatment on the Student’s Day**

It is important that the school and clinic work out how clinical treatment will be provided when children are in school. Removing a child from class can be disruptive to the child and the classroom, especially in this time of focus on improving school outcomes. On the other hand, it is very important that the child receive the treatment services needed and that the clinic staff’s time is fully utilized. For example, the clinic staff may be unable to see students in schools where core subjects are grouped during a particular part of the day, which would significantly affect the clinic’s staffing. School and clinic leaders need to address this issue up front and determine how best to manage it. There is no cookie-cutter approach that will work in every school and every situation, as activities such as required testing, a child’s condition, and the impact of emergencies or other issues on the clinicians will vary.

**Establishing Standards for Appropriate Referrals to the Clinic**

Most new school mental health clinics go through a period of confusion regarding appropriate referrals at start-up. There is a learning curve for school staff to understand the difference between PPS services under Education Law and mental health services under the Mental Hygiene Law. As a result, some referrals made to the school mental health clinic may be inappropriate at first; however as education/mental health partnerships mature, the number of appropriate referrals generally increases. New partnerships can minimize the effect of this by agreeing on the parameters for referrals. Actively involving school staff in these discussions and providing regular training and feedback has been shown to maximize the clinic’s effectiveness and clarify the roles of the school district and clinic.

For example, a common misunderstanding in schools when a clinic is first established is sending students who are acting out to a clinic in the same way they are sent to the principal’s office for disruptive behavior. It must be established that this is not the purpose of the clinic. School and clinic staffs need to set clear expectations about when clinic involvement is necessary or appropriate in such a case. School staff is responsible for enforcing the school’s code of conduct and should not rely on time-outs in the clinic as a solution to inappropriate behavior.

Use of clinics as a safe space for students who are also their clients may be handled differently. Some children have treatment plans that, with the school’s knowledge and cooperation, permit them to use the clinic as a safe space to cool down following or in the lead-up to a trigger event at school. Schools’ use of safe space practices is often viewed as a positive strategy to help children remain in their home school rather than be placed in an out-of-district program such as a BOCES or not-for-profit school-based treatment program.

**Confidentiality**

Confidentiality has proven to be a significant issue for a number of school-based clinics. The collaboration will bring into play laws that affect the school clinic and licensed professionals. Where disagreements and concerns arise, they often emanate from a lack of understanding of the laws and regulations that apply to the professionals and staff of the school and clinic. Also, school legal staff’s desire to use certain clinic information
that supports school district legal proceedings with parents sometimes creates conflict over confidentiality. Successful collaborations have addressed these issues in advance by negotiating agreements that respect the responsibilities of school and clinic professionals.

Mental health clinic staff requirements for confidentiality and sharing records emanates from the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and §33.13 of the Mental Hygiene Law (For additional information, see http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/index.html). Schools are governed by the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) for addressing parental and student confidentiality rights, and HIPAA for Medicaid funding. Professionals licensed under Title VIII of Education Law must comply with section 18 of the Public Health Law and may have privileged communications under the Civil Procedures Law (CPL 4507 and 4508).

The goal is to serve the child in the context of the family by working with the parent to obtain approval to share information that will ensure a consistent school and community approach to addressing the needs of the child and the family. Issues surrounding sharing information are at the crux of many disputes when implementing school-based mental health programs. With informed parental consent, most of these issues can be managed.

Sharing Information or Records between School Staff and Clinic Staff

Informed parental, guardian or adult student consent is necessary before records can be shared between school and clinic staff. Informed consent reflects parental understanding about what will be shared and how the information may be used. Both the school and clinic must obtain consent (i.e., the school must receive consent to share a student’s school information with the clinic and the clinic must receive consent to share clinical information regarding a particular student with the school). The consent cannot be generic; it must be specific and updated to reflect current records and reports. This is an ongoing process that must be built into the relationship with the student and parent. When developing an agreement, the partners should determine what information school and clinic staff will need to do their jobs more effectively and how to work with parents to obtain informed consent. It should be noted that the partnership should be able to work out how to best use aggregate data to assess the effectiveness of the partnership in addressing school-wide outcomes.

Some school districts contract with the clinic to conduct evaluations requested by the Committee on Special Education (CSE). While this is allowable, there are issues associated with confidentiality that must be addressed in the partnership agreement to protect the licensure of the professionals and the clinic. These include, but are not limited to the following.

- Because clinics are governed by §33.13 of the Mental Hygiene Law and HIPAA, the parent must give an additional consent for the clinic to release to the school district records related to an evaluation requested by the CSE. The clinic is prohibited from releasing these records if the parent does not consent, even if the CSE obtained parental consent to do the evaluation.
• The materials that result from such an evaluation are part of the student’s educational record under FERPA. The school district that contracted for the evaluation owns the records. However, HIPAA and §33.13 provide that these records are protected from further disclosure without parent consent. The district must establish procedures to ensure this confidentiality or risk jeopardizing the clinic’s license to operate.

• Professionals licensed under Title VIII are accountable under Education Law and Regents rules, which define unprofessional conduct. The health professions must comply with §18 of the Public Health Law, which defines recordkeeping and access to records. The school, clinic and provider must ensure compliance with law in relation to records, clinical notes and other information about the student’s health and treatment. Progress notes are part of the treatment record. It is not clear whether clinician progress notes would be considered part of the record under FERPA if they are related to an evaluation performed under contract with the school district. However, giving these notes to the school district could put the counseling relationship in jeopardy and lead to parents refusing to be fully forthright in discussing key issues associated with the child. HIPAA and §33.13 provide that records given to the district are protected from further disclosure without parent consent. This is a serious issue that could affect the counseling process and professional ethics of the counselors involved. A district’s insistence on access to progress notes for school or clinic administrative reasons could compromise the contractual relationship. School districts are encouraged not to request these records.

Understand that the above issues don’t reflect the entire spectrum that school and provider leadership and staff will face. Each Partnership will be different.

**Measuring Effectiveness**

Summary: Ultimately partners will want to know if children are doing better academically and socially. The contribution of the partnership to these outcomes can be hard to definitively measure, but successful programs have concentrated on measurements of improved engagement in school and treatment. For example:

**Schools:** Improved attendance, especially when part of a school-wide strategy to identify and address chronic absenteeism, a proven identifier of poor academic outcomes; reductions in disciplinary actions; and parental/family engagement. Use the link below to get more information on how to use this critical information.

**Mental Health Providers:** Increased youth and parental participation in treatment and school-wide/group structured activities.

Partners should address how they will measure effectiveness as soon as possible with a focus on continuous improvement. More information on the impact of attendance and related improvement strategies can be found at: [www.attendanceworks.org](http://www.attendanceworks.org)

What are other core indicators that a partnership should consider measuring?

  - Page 16 provides information on key markers of school climate in an SEDL environment that can be measured:
1. violent incidences
2. school attendance and absenteeism – a key indicator
3. student misconduct
4. availability of illegal substances
5. bullying, harassment, intimidation

- School discipline indicators. Addressing suspensions and internal discipline referrals is important in getting a handle on the school’s learning environment.

- Improved school/classroom participation. Could be addressed through school staff/youth surveys on school satisfaction and participation.
  o Improved access to core health, mental health and human services for children and their family. Address impact on utilization and effectiveness of treatment/services and if the partnership is comprehensive enough (i.e., not just related to access to mental health services but includes social services, health, substance abuse, after school programs and proactive youth development/delinquency prevention programs, etc.).
  o Improved Family participation. Addressing improvements in consistent parent participation in their child’s education (e.g., on-going involvement with their child’s teacher and in key school functions, ability to assist with homework, etc.).
  o Outcomes on School State Assessments. Ultimately, the school’s success is in large part determined by these outcomes. Note: Impact takes time. Don’t expect immediate improvement in an area that has multiple factors and is very complicated.

Addressing systems integration indicators: The leadership team should address a number of items to assure that the evolving partnership does not veer off target. Consider:
- Are necessary school/community support groups/teams in place to assure that integration of the collaborative systems is implemented effectively?
- Is there ongoing support of school/community leadership at all levels?
- Are the appropriate leaders on each team?
- Are teams addressing roles/responsibilities, conflict resolution, making training available, etc.?

Note: The use of individual student information is governed by HIPPA and FERPA. Great care should be taken to protect confidentiality. However, the partnership should be able to work out how to best use aggregate data to assess the effectiveness of the partnership in addressing school-wide outcomes.
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Collocation of Services is Only the First Step in Improving School and Community Outcomes

The State Education Department and the Office of Mental Health strongly encourage school district, providers and local government leaders to work together to establish school-based/linked, community-operated mental health programs. It is important to recognize, however, that such collaborations, while critical to the ability of many children to function appropriately in their homes, schools and communities, is only one step in effectively serving children and adolescents with emotional and behavioral problems and their families. The existence of these programs will, by their proximity to children, ensure that access to mental health services is improved, but simply enhancing access by itself will not assure that school and community outcomes will improve. While research shows that school-based mental health services will improve treatment outcomes, generally the needs of youth are more complicated and a structure that allows for serving the whole child, including viewing those needs in the context of their family, generally has greater positive impact. Research has shown that linking school Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) with school-based mental health is an effective strategy.

Recent national initiatives are addressing the steps that can be taken to enhance the success of school and mental health collaborations, including how to more effectively plan and implement a partnership structured around PBIS. This structure is referred to as the Interconnected Systems Framework (ISF). The ISF builds from the established and effective platforms of PBIS and Implementation Science to integrate school mental health (SMH) programs and services into existing Response to Intervention (RtI) systems in schools.

Core features of the include: (1) effective teams that include community mental health providers, (2) data based decision making, (3) formal processes for the selection and implementation of evidence based practices (EBP), (4) early access through use of comprehensive screening, (5) rigorous progress-monitoring for both fidelity and effectiveness, and (6) ongoing coaching at both the systems and practices level. Specifically, the ISF involves collaborating community mental health providers working closely with school employees within a multi-tiered teaming structure, actively reviewing data and coordinating the implementation, fidelity and progress monitoring of supports delivered at multiple levels of intensity.


More in depth information and resources on national initiatives addressing student and learning supports through PBIS and the integration of mental health and schools can be found at:

- The federal PBIS TA Center http://www.pbis.org/
- Center for Mental Health in Schools at UCLA: http://smhp.psych.ucla.edu
• Center for School Mental Health at the University of Maryland School of Medicine: http://csmh.umaryland.edu/
• University of South Florida Research and Training Center for Children’s Mental Health: http://rtckids.fmhi.usf.edu/
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POSSIBLE COMPONENTS OF A PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

This is a summary of items that any given partnership may choose to include in an agreement and should not be confused with a sample agreement. Any given partnership agreement would look different.

Participants Each agreement would reflect the participants in their situation e.g., school district, provider and local government.

Possible Provisions

A. Purpose of the School-based Mental Health Program. For example:
The partnership’s school-based mental health treatment/consultation program has been developed to provide mental health treatment services to children/adolescents and their families as well as provide professional development for school staff through clinical consultation and training.

B. Fiscal Responsibility
   1. District’s fiscal obligations.
      a. In-Kind: Space; phone, utilities, etc. as agreed.
      b. Mental health services, not otherwise able to be provided by school district staff, paid for by the DISTRICT are described below and the costs are detailed in an Appendix, etc.
   2. Provider’s fiscal obligations. The Provider shall provide, at no cost to the District, mental health services to children/adolescents and their families who are not otherwise identified in section “1b” above. Also consider addressing any rent, maintenance, security, etc. costs.

C. General Program Component Agreements
   The Provider and District hereby agrees the provision of treatment services will be done according to the following terms: EXAMPLES of items that MIGHT be included are:

   1. Staffing of the clinic.
   2. Roles and Responsibilities. Participants agree to work together to establish appropriate roles and responsibilities of clinic and district staff. Services provided by Clinic are not intended to replace services provided by the district’s school guidance counselors, school social workers, and school psychologists.
   3. Ongoing Communication. Both parties agree to bring concerns forward to mutually resolve any disagreements and/or problems that develop.
   4. Consent requirements. For example, services to children/adolescents may only be provided with written consent from the parent or guardian. A non-CSE student, who is a minor older than sixteen who does not wish their parents/guardians be informed about their treatment services, may obtain treatment without parental consent per Mental Hygiene Law.
   5. Payment structure.
6. Ability to pay for services. What will be the policy if there is an inability to pay? Will services be denied if a family/guardian is unwilling to pay? Etc.

7. Program effectiveness. How will the District and Provider measure program effectiveness?

8. Hours of Operation.

9. Employment status and obligations. The Provider assures that:
   a) Clinical staff shall be employees or agents of the Provider. The District shall not be liable for obligations, if any, incurred by the clinical staff.
   b) Staff will have/maintain appropriate licensure and/or certification.
   c) It will comply with all applicable State and federal laws and regulations including, but not limited to: IDEA, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Article 89 of the NYS Education Law, HIPAA, and FERPA.
   d) The clinical staff will perform all services in accordance with generally accepted practices and the standard of care.
   e) The clinical staff shall be fingerprinted as per NYS Educational Law and documentation of such fingerprinting shall be provided to the DISTRICT.

10. Confidentiality and sharing of information. The use of individual student information is governed by HIPPA and FERPA. Great care should be taken to addressing confidentiality in the Partnership Agreement. However, the partnership should also be able to work out how to best use aggregate data to assess the effectiveness of the partnership in addressing school-wide outcomes. See the sections on Confidentiality and Measuring Effectiveness starting on page 18.

Specific Obligations of the DISTRICT: Examples of areas that may be addressed.

1. Promote the program within the DISTRICT and market acceptance for the program with students, parents and community leaders.

2. Designate one staff person to function as a liaison between school personnel and the school based clinician(s). Note: Highly recommended.

3. Make referrals to the program under agreed on terms: Possible options include:
   a. The DISTRICT will first gain the agreement and stated intent to cooperate from the student’s parent(s)/guardian(s), where applicable.
   b. Inform parents/guardians that at least one parent/guardian must be present for evaluation, where applicable.
   c. Obtain written consent for treatment from the student’s parent(s) or guardian(s) where applicable.
   d. Obtain written consent, where applicable, authorizing the Provider to release information to the DISTRICT regarding the student’s treatment. NOTE: Any special considerations should be addressed. For example, the release of Provider’s therapist’s progress notes should not be included.
   e. Provide to the Provider, any records of psychological testing, if available, and other school records as applicable, in accordance with FERPA and HIPAA.

4. Contact the clinician/supervisor if a psychiatric emergency arises with a student on the Provider caseload.

5. Provide suitable confidential space in the school building, as mutually agreed to by the parties, for conducting evaluations and providing treatment services.

6. Provide access to an appropriate private telephone.

7. Provide a secured locking file cabinet where records can be maintained

Specific Obligations of the Provider: Examples of areas that may be addressed.
1. Assess the appropriateness of referrals from the school to ensure they meet admission criteria for treatment.
2. For students not accepted for treatment, assist the referring party in identifying other services, as appropriate.
3. Ensure that written authorization to treat has been obtained, if applicable.
4. Explain confidentiality requirements and recommend to parent(s)/guardian(s), where applicable, that they sign a release of information between the Provider and school personnel when appropriate.
5. Review new referrals at an interdisciplinary staff meeting within a specified time frame following evaluation.
6. Provide outpatient clinic treatment and case management services in accordance with NYS OMH regulations.
7. Coordinate activities with the school liaison and consistent with consent(s), share any information relevant to the student's functioning in school.
8. Establish how a Psychiatric Emergency involving students NOT on the Provider caseload will be handled.
## Strategies for Open Communication

**Adapted from, “A Principal’s Guidebook: School-based Mental Health Programs” by Scott Bloom, LCSW-R, Director of School Mental Health Services, NYC Office of School Health.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ways that schools can foster strong communication and mutual respect:</th>
<th>Ways that Mental Health Providers can foster strong communication and mutual respect:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Organize an orientation of the school</td>
<td>• Provide school with Mental Health Provider’s annual report, brochures, and mission statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduce Mental Health Provider staff to school staff, including APs, Guidance Counselors, Teachers, Custodians, and SSAs</td>
<td>• Meet one-on-one with key school personnel and teachers to introduce yourself and explain how you hope to support the school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Distribute written policies and procedures to Mental Health Providers</td>
<td>• Link Mental Health Provider’s resources to the school and respective community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide Mental Health Provider with a copy of the school’s Crisis and other appropriate plans</td>
<td>• Leave classrooms in their original condition; leave school liaison or classroom teacher a note so they know whom to contact with concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Notify Mental Health Provider of important dates and school closings, including planned construction and renovation or other events that could affect program space</td>
<td>• Follow-up with any communication or referrals; Thank You and notes of appreciation to all staff are good practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Offer Mental Health Provider access to school bulletin board and newsletter to communicate with students and staff</td>
<td>• Be part of Orientation and other school-wide events (e.g. Report Card Night, Health Fairs, Talent Shows, Parent Teacher organization meetings, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide Mental Health Provider Staff opportunities to participate in school trainings, School Leadership Team, and other meetings</td>
<td>• Provide training for faculty to explain programs and services, protocols, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide leadership in building support for the provider with parents/family members</td>
<td>• Actively engage family organizations in your efforts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 4

**SAMPLE – Examples Only**

### Principal and Mental Health Provider Planning Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Principal’s / School’s Responsibility</th>
<th>Mental Health Provider’s Responsibility</th>
<th>Shared Responsibility (Indicate How)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decide on the type of services to be offered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hire and supervise additional staff.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work cooperatively with potential research and evaluation components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule follow up meetings to assess progress of programs with key stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building needs: building permit, floor plans, accessibility, after-school hours arrangements, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit students for referrals and mental health services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate with parents about the content of programs: consents, shared information, outreach, integration events and meetings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure space for activities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate outreach and publicity in classrooms offices, orientation, established protocols, integrated forums, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure respectful treatment and cleanliness of school property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designate school point person to work with Mental Health Provider and provider liaison for school.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide supplies and materials for programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure a safe storage space for supplies and materials.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop protocol for emergencies and/or incident reporting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handle discipline issues that arise in programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up with students who are being served by program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (e.g., school should find out if Mental Health Providers are contracted to work holidays; Provider should know about any planned construction or renovation that will impact programming space)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Adapted from, “A Principal’s Guidebook: School-based Mental Health Programs” by Scott Bloom, LCSW-R, Director of School Mental Health Services, NYC Office of School Health.**